

Global Dating Safety Rules & Response Map 2026

What users can report, what platforms may owe them, and where the public rules stop.

SNAPSHOT	SCOPE	VERSION
24 July 2026	Seven jurisdictions, twelve dating and matchmaking products, and nine user-response controls.	1.0.1

THE SHORT ANSWER

A report button, a legal right, and a safety promise are not the same thing.

This report separates binding rules, a dating-specific industry code, official guidance, proposals, and controls not located in the reviewed source set. It then compares the topics addressed in twelve official product safety pages. The result is a source map—not a country ranking, product score, legal opinion, or verdict about a person.

Recommended citation: RealAttraction Research. Global Dating Safety Rules & Response Map 2026. First edition, public-source snapshot 24 July 2026. <https://realattraction.today/insights/global-dating-safety-rules-response-map/>

Four findings from the first edition

01 · Formal response rights are uneven.

The EU/EEA source set provides the clearest notice, outcome, and appeal chain. The UK source set places complaints and risk duties on in-scope services. Other jurisdictions rely more heavily on broader consumer, privacy, intermediary, or digital-violence frameworks.

02 · Australia is the only reviewed jurisdiction with a dating-specific code.

It is a voluntary industry code with independent industry oversight. eSafety explicitly states that it does not enforce the code, while separate eSafety powers cover eligible online harms.

03 · A proposed duty must stay labelled as proposed.

The U.S. Romance Scam Prevention Act remains introduced legislation in the snapshot. It should not be described as a current requirement to warn every past contact of a banned account.

04 · Public guidance coverage is not product performance.

The twelve-product table records topics in captured official pages. It does not test implementation, response speed, incident rates, or whether one product is safer than another.

LEGAL-FORCE LEGEND

Binding rule	A law, statutory duty, or enforceable regulatory requirement in the reviewed source.
Industry code	A dating-specific industry code with its own oversight, but not the same legal force as legislation.
Official guidance	Advice or a reporting route from a government, regulator, or public authority.
Proposal	A bill, consultation, or implementation step that should not be described as current law.
Not located	No dating-specific requirement was located in the reviewed official source set. This is not proof that no relevant law exists.

Strongest status supported by the selected official sources

Each cell represents one jurisdiction × one response control. “Not located” means no dating-specific requirement was found in the selected official sources; it does not prove that no relevant law exists.

Jurisdiction	User reporting	Decision notice	Appeal	Banned-account notice	Cross-service action	Intimate-image response	Transparency	Preventive guidance	Age or identity controls
United States	Guidance	Proposed	Not located	Proposed	Not located	Binding	Not located	Guidance	Not located
United Kingdom	Binding	Binding	Binding	Not located	Not located	Binding	Binding	Guidance	Binding
EU / EEA	Binding	Binding	Binding	Not located	Not located	Binding	Binding	Guidance	Guidance
Australia	Code	Code	Code	Not located	Not located	Binding	Code	Guidance	Binding
Canada	Guidance	Not located	Not located	Not located	Not located	Guidance	Binding	Guidance	Not located
India	Binding	Binding	Binding	Not located	Not located	Binding	Binding	Guidance	Binding
Brazil	Binding	Binding	Not located	Not located	Not located	Binding	Binding	Guidance	Guidance

The full HTML edition links every cell to its source note. CSV and JSON distributions preserve the same source IDs, notes, snapshot date, and status.

United States

Federal consumer-protection enforcement and reporting guidance are active. A dating-specific notification bill remains a proposal, while the TAKE IT DOWN Act creates a separate intimate-image removal framework for covered platforms.

Practical starting route

[ReportFraud.ftc.gov](#) for fraud; the FTC TAKE IT DOWN route for covered intimate-image removal failures.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Guidance	The FTC provides a federal fraud-reporting route and dating-scam guidance; this is not a dating-platform complaint appeal. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Decision notice	Proposed	S.841 proposes notices connected to certain banned members. Congress.gov still lists the bill as introduced. Source: Congress.gov.
Appeal	Not located	No general dating-platform appeal right was located in the reviewed federal sources. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Banned-account notice	Proposed	The Romance Scam Prevention Act proposal would address notices after certain fraud-related account removals; it is not current law. Source: Congress.gov.
Cross-service action	Not located	No general cross-service dating-account action duty was located in the reviewed federal sources. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Intimate-image response	Binding	The TAKE IT DOWN Act establishes a notice-and-removal framework for covered non-consensual intimate depictions, including certain synthetic content. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Transparency	Not located	No dating-specific federal transparency-report duty was located in the reviewed source set. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	The FTC publishes dating and romance-scam warnings, complaint data, and reporting guidance. Source: Federal Trade Commission.
Age or identity controls	Not located	No nationwide dating-specific age or identity-verification rule was located in this federal source set. Source: Federal Trade Commission.

United Kingdom

The Online Safety Act places illegal-content risk, reporting, complaints, record-keeping, and age-assurance duties on in-scope services. Ofcom publishes dating-specific guidance on how those duties apply.

Practical starting route

Use the service complaint route first: Ofcom explains duties but does not resolve every individual content complaint.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Binding	In-scope services need a complaints tool for illegal or harmful material and a process for dealing with complaints. Source: Ofcom; Ofcom.
Decision notice	Binding	Complaints duties require clear processes and responses in defined circumstances; the exact duty depends on service and complaint type. Source: Ofcom.
Appeal	Binding	The Act's complaints framework covers challenges to certain content and account decisions for in-scope services. Source: Ofcom.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No dating-specific duty to warn a removed account's past matches was located in the reviewed Ofcom material. Source: Ofcom.
Cross-service action	Not located	No general duty to remove a person across separate dating products was located in the reviewed source set. Source: Ofcom.
Intimate-image response	Binding	Illegal-content duties cover relevant intimate-image abuse and sextortion risks on in-scope services. Source: Ofcom; Ofcom.
Transparency	Binding	In-scope services have record-keeping, terms, risk-assessment, and transparency duties that vary by service category. Source: Ofcom.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	Ofcom publishes a dating-specific risk and compliance guide for services. Source: Ofcom.
Age or identity controls	Binding	Dating services that permit pornographic content must use highly effective age assurance to prevent under-18 access. Source: Ofcom.

European Union / EEA

The Digital Services Act creates a notice-and-action route, outcome notices, internal complaints, independent dispute settlement, and transparency duties for covered online platforms. It is not a dating-specific safety code.

Practical starting route

Use the platform's DSA notice-and-action route: unresolved systemic problems can be raised with the national Digital Services Coordinator.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Binding	Online platforms must provide a simple notice-and-action mechanism for potentially illegal content. Source: European Commission.
Decision notice	Binding	A notifier must receive confirmation and the platform's final outcome. Source: European Commission.
Appeal	Binding	Users can use an internal complaint process or a certified out-of-court dispute body for covered decisions. Source: European Commission.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No dating-specific rule to notify a removed account's former matches was located in the reviewed DSA material. Source: European Commission.
Cross-service action	Not located	The reviewed DSA source does not create a general cross-product dating-account ban. Source: European Commission.
Intimate-image response	Binding	The notice-and-action process applies to potentially illegal content, including content illegal under applicable intimate-image laws. Source: European Commission.
Transparency	Binding	The DSA creates transparency obligations around moderation decisions, reports, advertising, and recommender systems. Source: European Commission.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	The Commission explains how to report scams and distinguish the DSA route from ordinary terms-of-service reporting. Source: European Commission.
Age or identity controls	Guidance	The DSA includes child-protection and design duties, but this review did not code a dating-specific identity-verification requirement. Source: European Commission.

Australia

Australia combines eSafety reporting powers and preventive guidance with a dating-specific voluntary industry code. The code has independent industry oversight, but eSafety states that it does not enforce the code.

Practical starting route

Report to the dating service first; eSafety accepts eligible adult cyber-abuse, image-based abuse, and illegal-content reports.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Code	Code participants must maintain reporting systems; separate eSafety routes cover eligible serious online harms. Source: eSafety Commissioner; Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Decision notice	Code	The code sets complaint and accountability expectations, but it is not equivalent to a statutory outcome-notice right. Source: Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Appeal	Code	Potential code breaches can be raised with the independent Code Compliance Committee. Source: eSafety Commissioner; Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No universal past-match notification duty was coded from the reviewed public code summary. Source: Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Cross-service action	Not located	No universal cross-product removal duty was coded from the reviewed public code summary. Source: Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Intimate-image response	Binding	eSafety provides a statutory reporting route for image-based abuse and other eligible online harms. Source: eSafety Commissioner.
Transparency	Code	Code participants publish self-assessed compliance ratings and are accountable to an industry-formed committee. Source: eSafety Commissioner; Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	eSafety publishes detailed advice for choosing a service, chatting, meeting, reporting, and getting support. Source: eSafety Commissioner.
Age or identity controls	Binding	Separate online-safety standards and child-protection duties apply; the voluntary dating code also addresses platform safeguards. Source: eSafety Commissioner.

Canada

Canada's current reviewed sources are mainly consumer-protection and privacy frameworks rather than a dating-specific online-safety regime. The proposed Online Harms Act is kept separate from rules already in force.

Practical starting route

Use the platform route: fraud can be reported to the Canadian Anti-Fraud Centre and privacy complaints to the relevant privacy authority.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Guidance	Official consumer guidance points users toward complaint and fraud-reporting routes; no dating-specific platform mechanism is mandated in this source. Source: Competition Bureau Canada.
Decision notice	Not located	No general dating-platform moderation outcome-notice right was located in the reviewed current sources. Source: Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada.
Appeal	Not located	Privacy complaints have regulator routes, but that is not a general appeal from dating-app moderation. Source: Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No dating-specific past-match warning duty was located in the reviewed source set. Source: Competition Bureau Canada.
Cross-service action	Not located	No general cross-service dating-account action duty was located. Source: Competition Bureau Canada.
Intimate-image response	Guidance	Criminal and provincial routes may apply, but the reviewed federal online-harms proposal is not coded as current law. Source: Canadian Heritage.
Transparency	Binding	PIPEDA privacy accountability and meaningful-consent duties can apply to dating services; this is not a public safety-report requirement. Source: Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	The Competition Bureau publishes dating-subscription, identity, and consumer-protection advice. Source: Competition Bureau Canada.
Age or identity controls	Not located	No nationwide dating-specific verification rule was located in the reviewed source set. Source: Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada.

India

India's intermediary, grievance, cybercrime-reporting, and data-protection rules can apply to dating services, but the reviewed national sources do not form a dating-specific safety code.

Practical starting route

Use the platform grievance contact: cyber-enabled crime can be reported through the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Binding	Intermediaries must publish grievance contacts and processes; the national cybercrime portal is a separate government reporting route. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology; Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre.
Decision notice	Binding	The grievance framework sets acknowledgement and resolution duties, subject to service classification and complaint type. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
Appeal	Binding	The IT Rules provide a Grievance Appellate Committee route for covered intermediary grievances. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No dating-specific duty to warn a removed account's past contacts was located. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
Cross-service action	Not located	No general cross-product dating-account removal duty was located. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
Intimate-image response	Binding	The intermediary grievance framework includes accelerated handling for certain intimate or impersonation content; criminal reports use the cybercrime portal. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology; Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre.
Transparency	Binding	Covered intermediaries and data fiduciaries have notice, grievance, and reporting duties that vary by classification. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology; Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	Government cybercrime resources provide reporting and prevention information, though not a complete dating-specific guide. Source: Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre.
Age or identity controls	Binding	The DPDP framework includes rules for children's personal data; it does not make a dating badge proof of identity or safety. Source: Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.

Brazil

Brazil's 2026 platform framework gives the ANPD systemic oversight of provider reporting channels, risk prevention, transparency, digital fraud, and digital violence. Implementation is still being phased in.

Practical starting route

Use the platform reporting channel: the ANPD is updating channels for systemic platform-duty and digital-violence complaints.

Control	Status	What the reviewed source supports
User reporting	Binding	The 2026 framework addresses provider reporting channels and handling systems; ANPD oversight is systemic rather than a substitute for every content complaint. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Decision notice	Binding	Provider duties include complaint handling and user rights, while detailed implementation is still developing. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Appeal	Not located	No dating-specific moderation appeal was coded from the reviewed implementation page. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Banned-account notice	Not located	No dating-specific duty to notify past contacts of a banned account was located. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Cross-service action	Not located	No general cross-service dating-account removal rule was located. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Intimate-image response	Binding	The 2026 framework specifically addresses digital violence and intimate content, including manipulated intimate content. Source: Ministry of Justice and Public Security; Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Transparency	Binding	ANPD oversight covers governance, transparency, risk prevention, reporting channels, and systemic provider duties. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.
Preventive guidance	Guidance	Official implementation material explains provider duties and digital-violence protections; it is not a dating-specific user guide. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados; Ministry of Justice and Public Security.
Age or identity controls	Guidance	Child and adolescent online protections exist in related frameworks; no dating-specific identity check was coded here. Source: Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados.

Topics addressed in twelve captured official pages

A dot means the captured page directly addresses the topic. A dash means the topic was not coded from that page. Neither symbol measures product performance or compliance.

RealAttraction.today received no payment, affiliate commission, or other consideration for inclusion. No dating product reviewed this analysis before publication or influenced the coding. Inclusion is not an endorsement, and omission is not a criticism.

The set combines mainstream products with representative services serving distinct dating contexts, including LGBTQ+, faith and marriage, non-traditional relationships, and explicit relationship expectations. A product needed an accessible public safety or help page that could be coded under the same topic definitions. This is a selected source set, not a complete market census.

Product	Report or support	Moving off-app	Money or investment	Privacy and personal data	First meeting	Verification or identity checks	Intimate images or consent	Location or device exposure	Community or relationship context
Tinder	•	•	—	•	•	•	•	•	—
Bumble	•	—	—	•	•	•	•	—	—
Hinge	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	—	—
Match	•	•	•	•	•	•	—	—	—
Coffee Meets Bagel	•	•	•	•	•	—	—	—	—
Grindr	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Muzz	•	•	•	•	•	—	—	—	•
Shaadi.com	•	—	•	•	•	•	—	—	•
Feeld	•	—	•	•	—	•	•	—	•
Salams	•	—	•	•	•	•	—	—	•
Seeking	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	—	•
eHarmony	•	•	•	•	•	—	•	—	•

Tinder · Mainstream dating

The current public page covers in-app communication, personal details, meeting plans, reporting, verification features, consent, and orientation privacy in some regions.

Official source reviewed: Safety Tips · checked 2026-07-24

Bumble · Mainstream dating and social connection

The Safety Center presents reporting, identity, private-image, in-app calling, and date-sharing tools. It describes features, not proof that a person is safe.

Official source reviewed: Bumble Safety Center · checked 2026-07-24

Hinge · Relationship-focused dating

The guide emphasizes staying in control of communication, refusing financial requests, protecting details, reporting, planning the first meeting, and consent.

Official source reviewed: Safe Dating Advice · checked 2026-07-24

Match · Relationship-focused dating

The source covers profile caution, off-app communication, money, personal information, reporting, and independent first-meeting plans.

Official source reviewed: Dating Safety Tips · checked 2026-07-24

Coffee Meets Bagel · Relationship-focused dating

The public advice focuses on keeping contact manageable, avoiding financial requests, protecting personal information, meeting publicly, and reporting concerns.

Official source reviewed: Dating Safety Tips · checked 2026-07-24

Grindr · LGBTQ+ dating and connection

The source set adds location, device, outing, sexual-health, consent, and identity-context risks that broad first-date advice can miss.

Official source reviewed: Safety & Privacy resources · checked 2026-07-24

Muzz · Muslim dating and marriage

The source covers reporting, staying on-app, privacy, money, public meeting plans, independent transport, and halal meeting context.

Official source reviewed: Safety Guidelines · checked 2026-07-24

Shaadi.com · South Asian matrimony

The public guidance addresses matrimony-specific identity, family, financial, privacy, meeting, and reporting decisions.

Official source reviewed: Privacy and safety tips · checked 2026-07-24

Feeld · Open-minded and non-traditional relationships

The page links fraud and sextortion guidance and presents privacy, verification, unwanted-image controls, consent, boundaries, and inclusive relationship contexts.

Official source reviewed: Trust & Safety · checked 2026-07-24

Salams · Muslim dating and marriage

The source covers personal information, meeting, financial boundaries, reporting, verification, and faith- and marriage-aware context.

Official source reviewed: Safety · checked 2026-07-24

Seeking · Dating with explicit relationship expectations

The source directly addresses money, coercion, privacy, verification, first meetings, consent, and clear relationship expectations.

Official source reviewed: Dating Safely · checked 2026-07-24

eharmony · Relationship-focused dating

The guide covers in-app communication, personal and financial information, reporting, first meetings, consent, boundaries, and relationship-focused context.

Official source reviewed: Online Dating Safety · checked 2026-07-24

METHOD AND LIMITS

How to reproduce—and not overstate—the map

Official sources first. A legal or regulatory cell is coded only from a government, regulator, legislature, or formally published code source.

AI-assisted source processing. AI tools helped organise source material, compare repeated fields, and prepare draft summaries. Published entries remain tied to the linked public source. AI output is not treated as evidence, a legal interpretation, or an independent source. This map has not been reviewed by a lawyer.

Strongest supported status. Each cell records the strongest status supported by the linked source: binding rule, industry code, official guidance, proposal, or not located.

No silent inference. A broad online-platform duty is not rewritten as a dating-specific promise. A bill is not presented as law. A voluntary code is not presented as government enforcement.

Product-source selection. The set combines mainstream products with representative services serving distinct dating contexts, including LGBTQ+, faith and marriage, non-traditional relationships, and explicit relationship expectations. A product needed an accessible public safety or help page that could be coded under the same topic definitions. This is a selected source set, not a complete market census.

Editorial independence. RealAttraction.today received no payment, affiliate commission, or other consideration for inclusion. No dating product reviewed this analysis before publication or influenced the coding. Inclusion is not an endorsement, and omission is not a criticism. Product links go to the specific public safety or help page reviewed so readers can check the coding. They are citations, not sign-up or recommendation links. The report does not use product logos, prices, or download calls to action.

Product pages are separate. The product matrix records topics in captured official guidance, not response quality, incident rates, compliance, or comparative safety.

Snapshot, not permanence. Public pages, legislation, and implementation guidance change. Every source has a last-checked date and material revisions enter the public change log.

This report does not identify users, investigate allegations, collect evidence, rank products by safety, or tell a reader whether a legal duty applies to a specific case.

REUSE

The original coding, tables, chart, and explanatory text are available under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International.
Third-party source text, names, and marks remain subject to their own terms.

17 sources checked for this edition

1. Federal Trade Commission — Online Dating

United States · Official guidance and enforcement hub · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.ftc.gov/online-dating>

2. Congress.gov — S.841 — Romance Scam Prevention Act

United States · Federal bill; status: introduced · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/senate-bill/841/all-info>

3. Federal Trade Commission — TAKE IT DOWN Act enforcement

United States · Federal law and enforcement guidance · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/blog/2026/05/take-it-down-act-enforcement-starts-now-what-know-about-ftc-tida>

4. Ofcom — Dating and social discovery: risks, rules and compliance

United Kingdom · Regulator guidance on binding duties · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.ofcom.org.uk/online-safety/illegal-and-harmful-content/dating-and-social-discovery-know-the-online-safety-risks-rules-and-how-to-comply>

5. Ofcom — Illegal content duties under the Online Safety Act

United Kingdom · Regulator guidance on binding duties · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.ofcom.org.uk/online-safety/illegal-and-harmful-content/illegal-content-duties-under-the-online-safety-act>

6. European Commission — Fighting online scams with the DSA notice-and-action mechanism

European Union / EEA · Official explanation of binding DSA rights · checked 2026-07-24

<https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/dsa-notice-and-action-mechanism>

7. European Commission — How the Digital Services Act brings transparency

European Union / EEA · Official explanation of binding DSA duties · checked 2026-07-24

<https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/dsa-brings-transparency>

8. eSafety Commissioner — Online dating

Australia · Official safety guidance and reporting routes · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.esafety.gov.au/key-topics/staying-safe/online-dating>

9. Australia Online Dating Code of Conduct — Voluntary Online Dating Code

Australia · Voluntary industry code · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.australianonlinedatingcode.com.au/about-the-code/>

10. Competition Bureau Canada — Online dating — know what you're signing up for

Canada · Official consumer guidance · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.canada.ca/en/competition-bureau/news/2019/02/online-dating--know-what-youre-signing-up-for.html>

11. Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada — PIPEDA investigation into a dating website

Canada · Privacy-law investigation · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.priv.gc.ca/en/opc-actions-and-decisions/investigations/investigations-into-businesses/2013/pipeda-2013-003/>

12. Canadian Heritage — Proposed Online Harms Act

Canada · Legislative proposal · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/services/safe-social-media-act.html>

13. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology — Information Technology Rules and amendments

India · Binding intermediary and data-protection rules · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.meitv.gov.in/documents/act-and-policies>

14. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology — Digital Personal Data Protection Rules, 2025

India · Binding data-protection rules · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.meitv.gov.in/documents/act-and-policies/digital-personal-data-protection-rules-2025-qDOxUjMtQWw>

15. Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre — National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal

India · Official reporting route · checked 2026-07-24

<https://cybercrime.gov.in/>

16. Autoridade Nacional de Proteção de Dados — Marco Civil da Internet — 2026 platform duties and implementation

Brazil · Official regulator implementation page · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.gov.br/anpd/pt-br/assuntos/marco-civil-da-internet>

17. Ministry of Justice and Public Security — Rules protecting women online and addressing digital violence

Brazil · Official explanation of 2026 rules · checked 2026-07-24

<https://www.gov.br/mj/pt-br/assuntos/noticias-1/entram-em-vigor-diretrizes-de-protecao-as-mulheres-na-internet-e-de-enfrentamento-da-violencia-em-ambiente-digital>

FILES

[HTML report, CSV, JSON, SVG, BibTeX, and machine-readable change log:](#)

<https://realattraction.today/insights/global-dating-safety-rules-response-map/>

[Corrections and source changes: hello@realattraction.today](#)